

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/031,358	01/17/2002	Friedrich J. Ehrlinger	ZAHFRI P 396US	6919	
20210 75	590 11/21/2003		EXAMINER		
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C. FOURTH FLOOR 500 N. COMMERCIAL STREET			PANG, ROGER L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MANCHESTE	MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151/		3681		
•			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003		
•					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ui .			
	Application No.	plicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/031,358	EHRLINGER, FRIEDRICH J.	
navicery near	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Roger L Pang	3681	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspond nce add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	cation. A proper rep ch places the appli	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date o	•		
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datheve been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) \square they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-29</u>.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. \square The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 10/031,358



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has changed all limitations of a "manual transmission" to transmission, which has broadened the claims. This is a new issue that would require further consideration and possibly a new search. With regard to the issue of translation of "getrieb", applicant has cited a reference showing the word "getrieb" used in connection to both manual and automatic transmissions, however, the word "getrieb" itself does not translate to "manual or automatic transmission", but "transmission" (in general). Applicant also claims that the present invention is directed more toward an automatic transmission and not a manual. How ver, applicant failed to ever mention said transmission being automatic in the original disclosure, and knowingly disclosed and claim disclosure.

11-21-03